

REMARKS

The subject application was originally filed with 58 claims. Claims 1-35 were cancelled in the Preliminary Amendment filed with the subject application and, thus, claims 36-58 are now pending. The Examiner rejected claims 1-58 (really claims 36-58) under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-32 of U.S. Patent No. 6,365,555 assigned to Applicant. In response to the Examiner's rejection, Applicant submits herewith a terminal disclaimer to overcome the obviousness-type double patenting rejection.

In view of the terminal disclaimer submitted herewith, it is believed that claims 36-58 are in condition for allowance and notice to such effect is respectfully requested. The Commissioner is authorized to charge Deposit Account 02-2051 for any fees that may be due in connection with this Response, specifically identifying Attorney Docket No. 24961-75.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: May 28, 2004

By:



Gregory S. Kolocouris
Reg. No. 47,714

BENESCH, FRIEDLANDER,
COPLAN & ARONOFF LLP
2300 BP Tower
200 Public Square
Cleveland, Ohio 44114
(216) 363-4453